





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/071,027	02/08/2002	Tapesh Yadav	A54	8800	
25235	7590 03/27/2003	•			
	HARTSON LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
1200 SEVENT		·	WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P		
DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

;		176				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/071,027	MARDILOVICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	George P Wyszomierski	1742				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	app ars on the cover sh et with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	approved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in App	lication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	•					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 10/071,027

Art Unit: 1742

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. This claim is directed to a process which consists entirely of mental steps (identifying, selecting) and as such defines non-statutory subject matter.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Helble et al. (U.S. Patent 5,358,695) or Bickmore et al. (U.S. Patent 5,984,997), either of which in view of pages F-45 thru F-51 of the <u>CRC Handbook</u>.

The Helble and Bickmore patents disclose preparing mixtures or solutions containing metal compounds and processing these mixtures in order to form fine (i.e. nanoscale) powders. The prior art processes include combustion with oxygen (see Helble column 4, lines 1-15 or Bickmore column 5, lines 27-50). More than one metal may be present in the starting material; see Helble column 6, line 25 or Bickmore examples 3-7. The mixture may comprise water or a hydrocarbon; see Helble column 4, line 21 or Bickmore column 4, lies 22-35. With respect to claims 10-17, the prior art suggests utilizing materials produced in this manner for the purposes as defined in the instant claims; see Helble column 6, lines 48-51 or Bickmore column 1, lines 27-60.



Application/Control Number: 10/071,027

Art Unit: 1742

Helble and Bickmore do not specify the viscosity of the starting material, as required by the instant claims. However, the examiner submits that the vast majority of common liquids have a viscosity within the range as presently claimed, as evidenced by pages F-45 thru F-51 of the CRC Handbook. This would include both water and the other liquids as used by Helble and Bickmore, e.g. furfurol, naphtha, nitric acid, ethylene glycol. In most cases, the viscosities recited in the CRC Handbook are near the lower end of the presently claimed range, so that even the addition of large amounts of solid materials such as metal compounds would raise the viscosity somewhat but would still result in a composition having a viscosity well within the presently claimed range.

Thus, the disclosures of Helble et al. or Bickmore et al., together with the teachings of the <u>CRC Handbook</u>, would have rendered processes and materials as presently claimed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

5. Claims 10-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,344,271.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant claims and the '271 claims define nanoscale materials having certain properties or useful features. These materials may be the same chemically in both instances, and are stated to be useful for the same purpose(s) in both sets of claims. Because no apparent physical difference exists between devices employing the materials as defined in the '271 claims and those defined in the instant claims, the claimed materials are held to be prima facie obvious to one of skill in the art in view of the claims of the '271 patent.



Application/Control Number: 10/071,027

Art Unit: 1742

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 7. Claim 11 is objected to because the meaning of the term "energy device" in the context of the present invention is unclear. Further clarification is required as to the scope of this claim. Appropriate correction is required.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (703) 308-2531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (703) 308-1146. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for all correspondence except for After Final amendments in which case the Fax number is (703) 872-9311. The Right fax number for this examiner is (703) 872-9039. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

GPW March 21, 2003